



Project:	Lincoln Southern Bypass		Date:	07/12/2017
			TN Ref:	0002
Subject:	Options for A607 Overbridge			
_				
Author:	Jon Pecina	Project Ref:	738233-WSP-	SBR-XX-RP-
			S-0002	
Reviewed:	Gauravkumar Panchal	Date:	06/12/2017	
Approved:	Mark Northing	Date:	06/12/2017	

1. Introduction

A new route to the south of the city of Lincoln linking the A15 at Waddington to the A46 at South Hykeham has been proposed which is known at Lincoln Southern Bypass (LSB). The proposed scheme is required to alleviate the current congestion and journey reliability issues associated with the south of the city of Lincoln which will also complete the ring road around the city. There are three bridge structures identified to form the LSB. This document outlines the design criteria and procedures to be adopted for the design of A607 Bridge.

The purpose of this desk study is:

- To identify the location of the structure;
- To review the known constraints;
- To identify the unknown constraints;
- To propose initial sizing of the structure;
- To prepare the viable solutions for the bridge structure;
- To provide the comparison between the possible solutions and recommendations;
- To identify the possible risks and hazards of the recommended solutions.

The ground condition at structure location appeared to be poor. Various options for bridge widening or replacement have been reviewed and evaluated. Table 2 summarising and comparing various structural types, and other factors including design, constructability, aesthetics, future maintenance, traffic and environmental impacts.

2. The Site

2.1. Description

The scheme located to the south of the city of Lincoln joining the A15 at Waddington to the A46 at South Hykeham. The route will run south-west from the A15 at Bracebridge Heath, passing through fields to the north of RAF Waddington, crossing the A607 Grantham Road before heading sharply down the slope and across Station Road, Waddington. After this, the route passes flat lying fields up to the junction of Brant Road and Somerton Gate Lane before heading further west across the River Witham and to the south of the village of South Hykeham. The route turns north-west past the town, crossing Boundary Lane and further fields before joining the existing A46 Hykeham roundabout. At Waddington, the site level is around 70m Above Ordnance Datum (AOD) to the top of the Lincoln Edge where the level drops sharply to about 40m AOD at the toe of the scarp. The ground then gently falls to about 10m AOD in the Witham valley and remains around this level to the A46 roundabout.





The structure will be located at the south of Lincoln, on A607 by the Waddington RAF base, as identified in the pictures below.

2.2. Location Plan





3. Site Constraints

The site constraints can be grouped into the following categories:

- Headroom;
- NMU routes;
- Statutory undertakers;
- Archaeological Survey information;
- Environmental constraints;
- Third party land ownership; and,
- Geotechnical information.

3.1. Headroom

The minimum headroom clearance between the soffit of the overbridge and the proposed highway level will be in accordance with TD 27/05. As per Table 6.1 of TD 27/05 the standard headroom for new Overbridges has to be at least 5.30m plus a sag curve. The minimum vertical clearance between beam soffit and the proposed highway level is 5.325m.

3.2. NMU routes

An existing NMU route is identified on the A607 Grantham Road. It comprises an off-highway shared pedestrian and cycle route, 1.5m wide, adjacent to the southbound carriageway. According to this, it is proposed to provide continuity over A607 Bridge, with a 3m wide footway along the south edge.

3.3. Statutory undertakers

The information of statutory undertakers will be updated once it is available. Reference should be made to the corresponding drawings. Information should include gas, water, electricity and





telecommunications plant cross the bridge. Trial holes should be carried out to confirm the presence of services during preliminary design stage.

Table 1 Statutory Summary

Authority	Service	Details	Location
Network Rail	Hazards	Not present	

3.4. Archaeological constraints

There is no archaeological survey data available. The information may potentially affect the location of the foundations/substructures. The archaeological information will be updated once it is available.

3.5. Environmental constraints

An archaeological survey has been planned for this area. This information may affect the location of the foundations/substructures.

It should be noted that green belt seems to present to the east of the River Witham. This should be confirmed by environmental survey. If green belt presents in this area, a design option that reduced the impact on the green belt should be taken into consideration.

3.6. Third party land ownership

The horizontal alignment of the LSB may still be subjected to change, which may affect the extent of third party land use.

However, land ownership details have currently not been requested from HM Land Registry. It should be noted that the proposed locations are surrounded by farm lands. It is thought unlikely that this will have a significant bearing upon proposals, however, it is recommended that land ownership details should be obtained during this stage to confirm the assumptions.

3.7. Geotechnical information

The geotechnical information will be updated once it is available. It should be noted that the geotechnical information should include an asbestos survey to clarify the risk of asbestos in the proposed area. It should also include any other information of ground conditions that may affect the design proposals.





4. Design Requirements

The outline design of the bridge has been progressed using the following criteria:-

Geometric dimensions of the A607 carriageway over the bridge:

East footway + cycling path: Single carriageway: North footway:	3.00 m 7.30 m 1.00 m
Others (Parapet Plinth etc):	1.00 m
Total width of proposed structure:	12.30 m

Geometric dimensions of the NHRR carriageway under the bridge:

South footway:	1.80 m
South verge:	1.00 m
South hardstrip:	1.00 m
Single carriageway:	7.30 m
North hardstrip:	1.00 m
North verge:	1.00 m
North NMU path:	3.50 m
Total clear, squared span of proposed structure:	16.60 m

The minimum headroom clearance between the soffit of the bridge and the existing ground level has been taken as 5.70m (as previously suggested). It should be noted that the dimensions may vary in preliminary design.





5. **Proposed Options**

There are two types of bridges considered for bridge skew spans approximately 20m and a skew angle of about 28° at the optioneering stage. The two proposed options are:

- 1) Precast pre-stressed concrete beams with in-situ slab and concrete infill;
- 2) Weathering steel I beams with in-situ reinforced concrete slab.

5.1. Option 1 – Precast beams with a cast in-situ deck

This section is to be read in conjunction with drawing 738233-WSP-SBR-XX-RP-DR-C-0003 attached to this document in Appendix A.

The bridge will be single span structure with approximate skew span of 20m at a skew angle of approximately 28°. The actual length of the span may vary based on the outcome of the geotechnical investigation on a later stage, which may lead to foundations being positioned at a different location.

The superstructure shall comprise of 23No. TB or similar Precast beams. The beams will be made composite with a 300mm thick in-situ reinforced concrete deck slab. The beams span into integral insitu reinforced concrete diaphragms spanning transversely between the supports at the abutments. The abutment will be cast monolithically with superstructure to get advantage of integral structure form.

The parapets will be supported by in-situ reinforced concrete edge beam. The deck cantilever soffit angles will vary to provide a constant depth of edge beam along the structure. N2 parapets with mesh infill have been proposed on both sides of the overbridge and approach ramps.

The abutment wall supported by reinforced concrete piled foundation. The design of the piles will be confirmed at preliminary design stage when geotechnical information is available.

Reinforced concrete retaining structures will be constructed to contain the backfill. The details of which will be specified at a later stage.

Top down construction method to be employed for the bridge construction. It reduces the traffic disruption to the A607. The different stages are summarised below in chronologic order:

- Provision of temporary access to the site and diversion of the A607.
- Construct pile foundations and pile caps for abutments.
- Construct abutments.
- Land precast beams on the abutments.
- Construct the retaining walls and backfill up to the bearing shelf level.
- Erect falsework for abutment diaphragm.
- Cast the deck slab and the end diaphragms.
- Complete the construction of reinforced concrete walls and backfill.
- Decommissioning of the falsework for beams after the concrete has attained its designated strength.
- Construct the bridge parapets.
- Apply deck waterproofing and deck surfacing.
- Install bridge verges, apply deck surfacing and install movement joints.





Install bridge furniture.

Advantages:-

- The bridge would be easy to construct compared to the conventional cast-in-situ construction. The precast concrete beams are cast offsite and lifted on their position.
- Low future maintenance cost as the structure would be integral at the piers and semiintegral at the abutments.
- The precast and reinforced concrete bridge elements will require fairly low maintenance costs compared to steel beam option.
- This option may have less environmental impacts due to less future maintenance requirements.
- The span length would result in a beam height of approximately 1.8m at mid-span. This would produce a lower road profile than when compared to using pre-cast concrete beams.

Disadvantages:-

- The pre-stressed concrete beams (and deck) are heavier compared to steel beams and require substantially larger substructure, hence higher construction cost.
- The option involves the lifting of heavy construction elements including the precast concrete beams.
- The option also involves the casting of in-situ reinforced concrete elements such as abutments, piers and deck slab.

5.2. Option 2 – Steel Composite Beams and In-situ Deck

This section is to be read in conjunction with drawing 738233-WSP-SBR-XX-RP-DR-C-0004 attached to this document in Appendix A.

The bridge will be an integral, single span steel-composite structure that spans 20m over the LSB, at an skew angle of approximately 28°. The actual length of the span may vary based on the outcome of the geotechnical investigation on a later stage, which may lead to foundations being positioned at a different location.

The superstructure shall comprise of 5No. fabricated steel girders. The beams will be made composite with a 300mm thick in-situ reinforced concrete deck slab. The beams span into integral insitu reinforced concrete diaphragms spanning transversely between the supports at the abutments. The abutment will be cast monolithically with superstructure to get advantage of integral structure form.

The parapets will be supported by in-situ reinforced concrete edge beam. The deck cantilever soffit angles will vary to provide a constant depth of edge beam along the structure. N2 parapets with mesh infill have been proposed on both sides of the overbridge and approach ramps.

The abutment wall supported by reinforced concrete piled foundation. The design of the piles will be confirmed at preliminary design stage when geotechnical information is available.





Reinforced concrete retaining structures will be constructed to contain the backfill. The details of which will be specified at a later stage.

Top down construction method to be employed for the bridge construction. It reduces the traffic disruption to the A607. The different stages are summarised below in chronologic order:

- Provision of temporary access to the site and diversion of the A607.
- Construct pile foundations and pile caps for abutments.
- Construct abutments.
- Land steel beams on the abutments.
- Construct the retaining walls and backfill up to the bearing shelf level.
- Erect falsework for abutment diaphragm.
- Cast the deck slab and the end diaphragms.
- Complete the construction of reinforced concrete walls and backfill.
- Decommissioning of the falsework for beams after the concrete has attained its designated strength.
- Construct the bridge parapets.
- Apply deck waterproofing and deck surfacing.
- Install bridge verges, apply deck surfacing and install movement joints.
- Install bridge furniture.

Advantages:-

- The structure would be easy to construct as the steel fabrication work would be done offsite.
- The lifting weight for steel beams would be lower when compared to precast concrete beams. A relatively lighter crane would be required to place the beams in to the position. Lighter construction also reduces the substructure cost.

Disadvantages:-

- The cost of construction using steel beams would be higher than the cost of using precast concrete beams.
- There may be disruption to traffic during future maintenance work.
- The option also involves the casting of insitu reinforced concrete elements such as abutments, piers and deck slab.
- Routinely inspections and maintenance will be required, although the use of weathering steel might lower down the costs and maintenance work frequency.
- The span length would result in a beam height of approximately 1.0m at mid-span. This would produce a slightly deeper road profile than when compared to using pre-cast concrete beams.





5.3. Options Summary

Table 2 Structures Options Summary

Option Ref	Relative Ease of construction	Specialist site preparation	Extensive temporary works Required?	Complex construction methodology	Design life / Extension	Maintenance costs	Aesthetics	Environmental Impact
Option 1 (Precast beams with a cast in-situ deck)	Simple	Require temporary site/access	Moderate – but vast majority of precast components will be cast offsite, and crane-lifted into the position	No	120 yrs	Low	Standard	Normal
Option 2 (Steel composite beams and in- situ deck)	Simple	Require temporary site/access	Moderate – but vast majority of steel fabrication will be assembled offsite, and crane-lifted into the position	Νο	120 yrs	Moderate - Low	Standard	Normal



wsp

6. Risks and Hazards

The possible risks and hazards have been listed below:

- 1. The A607 structures put forward as part of the conceptual design may vary in their geometry as changes in the vertical/horizontal alignment happen.
- 2. The vertical alignment used does not make provision for the headroom requirements –i.e. 5.7m. According to this, the carriageway level has been lowered down to the required level in order to maintain the minimum vertical clearance specified.
- 3. Bridge excavations, e.g. walls and other structures. Falling into excavations, groundwork collapse, slope instability, construction workers.
- 4. Setting up formworks for foundations and walls during construction. Temporary instability. Instability of cured concrete structures, such as walls, piers and foundations. Instability of temporary works, such as setting up formworks. And Instability of permanent structure during construction. Construction workers.
- 5. Craning or lifting operations. Failure during lifting due to asymmetric lifting, uncontrolled lifting, Construction workers.
- 6. Access for maintenance. Exposure to live traffic, working from height etc. for maintenance.
- 7. Maintenance Operatives.
- 8. Presence of services (relocating existing STATS during construction/demolition). Electrocution - striking services leading to injury. Construction workers.
- 9. Hot work carried out for steel composite bridge widening. Welding and cutting working under hot environment lead to injury and vision damage.
- 10. Agree software that should be used in preliminary and detail stage.







	<u>Notes:</u>			
	1. Do not scale fro	m this drawing.	0	
	noted. 3. The structure el	ement dimensions are shown bas	e sed	
	on conceptual d detailed prelimir	esign and subject to change at ary design stage.		
7				
2				
	Lincolnshire High	way Alliance drawing created using base		
	information suppli	ed by external party detailed below: Provided By:		
	information suppli Base Information Client Provided D	ed by external party detailed below: Provided By: rawing Number:		
	THIS DRAWING IS TO BE F	READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH AIP REFERENCI	E	
	THIS DRAWING IS TO BE F 70036923- FOR THIRD PARTY US CURRENT ONLY AT THE	READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH AIP REFERENCI VSP-SBR-LEB_RWB-RP-S-0001 E, THIS DRAWING SHALL BE DEEMED DATE OF APPROVAL. PLEASE CHECK	E	
	THIS DRAWING IS TO BE F 70036923-V FOR THIRD PARTY US CURRENT ONLY AT THE FOR AME	READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH AIP REFERENCI VSP-SBR-LEB_RWB-RP-S-0001 E, THIS DRAWING SHALL BE DEEMED DATE OF APPROVAL. PLEASE CHECK NDMENTS BEFORE USE	E	
	THIS DRAWING IS TO BE F 70036923- FOR THIRD PARTY US CURRENT ONLY AT THE FOR AME	READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH AIP REFERENCINGS States and the second states and the second states and the second states are sec	E	
	THIS DRAWING IS TO BE F 70036923- FOR THIRD PARTY US CURRENT ONLY AT THE FOR AME	READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH AIP REFERENCI VSP-SBR-LEB_RWB-RP-S-0001 E, THIS DRAWING SHALL BE DEEMED DATE OF APPROVAL. PLEASE CHECK NDMENTS BEFORE USE	E	
	THIS DRAWING IS TO BE F 70036923-V FOR THIRD PARTY US CURRENT ONLY AT THE FOR AME	Provided By: Provided By: Pr	E	
	THIS DRAWING IS TO BE F 70036923-1 FOR THIRD PARTY US CURRENT ONLY AT THE FOR AME	Provided By: rawing Number: Provided By: rawing Number: READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH AIP REFERENCING VSP-SBR-LEB_RWB-RP-S-0001 E, THIS DRAWING SHALL BE DEEMED DATE OF APPROVAL. PLEASE CHECK NDMENTS BEFORE USE	E	
500	THIS DRAWING IS TO BE F 70036923-1 FOR THIRD PARTY US CURRENT ONLY AT THE FOR AME Revision: Description: Amendment Details Dra 1st Approval by: CP	Provided By: Prov	E	
	Information supplier Base Information Client Provided D THIS DRAWING IS TO BE F 70036923-1 FOR THIRD PARTY US CURRENT ONLY AT THE FOR AME Image: Strategy of the strategy of th	Provided By: Prov	E	
	Information suppli Base Information Client Provided D THIS DRAWING IS TO BE F 70036923-1 FOR THIRD PARTY US CURRENT ONLY AT THE FOR AME Image: Strategy of the second se	A provided By: Pr	E pproval	
	Information suppli Base Information Client Provided D THIS DRAWING IS TO BE F 70036923-1 FOR THIRD PARTY US CURRENT ONLY AT THE FOR AME Image: Strate of the stra	N (Do not scale from this drawing Clare from this drawing Number:	E	
	Information suppli Base Information Client Provided D THIS DRAWING IS TO BE F 70036923-1 FOR THIRD PARTY US CURRENT ONLY AT THE FOR AME Image: Scale: (@A1) Primary: Ass SHOW Secondary:	Read IN CONJUNCTION WITH AIP REFERENCE Provided By: rawing Number: READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH AIP REFERENCE VSP-SBR-LEB_RWB-RP-S-0001 E, THIS DRAWING SHALL BE DEEMED DATE OF APPROVAL. PLEASE CHECK NDMENTS BEFORE USE DATE OF APPROVAL. PLEASE CHECK NDMENTS BEFORE USE Drawn CAD Approved A; By: By: De awing 1st Approval Date: 28 NOV 2017 CAD Drawing Creation Created By: Date: KT 27/11/17 N (Do not scale from this drawing	E pproval ng)	
	Information suppli Base Information Client Provided D THIS DRAWING IS TO BE F 70036923-1 FOR THIRD PARTY US CURRENT ONLY AT THE FOR AME Image: Second and the second and	Aving Number:	E pproval ng)	
	Information suppli Base Information Client Provided D THIS DRAWING IS TO BE F 70036923-4 FOR THIRD PARTY US CURRENT ONLY AT THE FOR THIRD PARTY US Revision: Description: Amendment Details Drawn/Designed by: JP Scale: (@A1) Primary: AS SHOW Secondary: Lincolnshire BRAYFORD WHARE NORTH <td c<="" td=""><td>Approved Approved App</td><td>E pproval proval Fs20705</td></td>	<td>Approved Approved App</td> <td>E pproval proval Fs20705</td>	Approved App	E pproval proval Fs20705
	Information suppli Base Information Client Provided D THIS DRAWING IS TO BE F 70036923-1 FOR THIRD PARTY US CURRENT ONLY AT THE FOR AME Image: Strate Strate Image: Strate Image: Strate Strat	Approved Ap	E pproval pste	
	Information suppli Base Information Client Provided D THIS DRAWING IS TO BE F 70036923- FOR THIRD PARTY US CURRENT ONLY AT THE FOR AME Image: Second Secon	Read IN CONJUNCTION WITH AIP REFERENCIAL Set by external party detailed below: Provided By: Prov	E pproval proval FS20705	
	THIS DRAWING IS TO BE F 70036923-4 FOR THIRD PARTY US CURRENT ONLY AT THE FOR AME CURRENT ONLY AT THE FOR AME CURRENT ONLY AT THE FOR AME Revision: Description: Amendment Details Drawn/Designed by: JP Scale: (@A1) Primary: AS SHOW Secondary: COUNTY COUNCIL Fighways ALIANCE Highways ALIANCE COUNTY COUNCIL COUNTY COUNCIL COUNTY COUNCIL COUNTY COUNCIL COUNTY COUNCIL COUNTY COUNCIL COUNTY COUNCIL COUNTY COUNCIL TECHNICAL SERVICES PARTNERSHIP BRAYFORD WHARFN NORTH LINCOLL MIT Castome Service Centre: (01522) 782070 738233-WSSP / S Scheme Reference J Alternative Scheme Code: Parish: LINCOLIN	Approved By: Provided By: Prov	E pproval proval FS20705	
	THIS DRAWING IS TO BE P TOUSSESSAN TOR THIRD PARTY US CURRENT ONLY AT THE FOR AME CURRENT ONLY AT THE FOR AME Revision: Description: Amendment Details Drawn/Designed by: JP Scale: (@A1) Primary: AS SHOW Secondary: Lincolspice Highways AHDAR Scale: (@A1) Primary: AS SHOW Secondary: Lincolspice Highways ALTINERSHIP BRAYFORD WHARF NORTH LINCOLN SOLUTHER Alternative Scheme Code: Parish: LINCOLN Structure No: Description: LINCOLN SOLUTHER	Approved By: Provided By: Pr	E pproval pproval FS20705	
	Information suppli Base Information Client Provided D THIS DRAWING IS TO BE F 70036923-1 FOR THIRD PARTY US CURRENT ONLY AT THE FOR AME CURRENT ONLY AT THE FOR AME Revision: Description: Amendment Details Drawn/Designed by: JP Scale: (@A1) Primary: AS SHOW Secondary: Lincolnshire (@A1) Primary: AS SHOW Secondary: Lincolnshire Highways Alliance Technical services partmensate BRAYFORD WHARE ALLIANCE Highways Alliance Technical services partmensate BRAYFORD WHARE ALLIANCE Total Service Conter: (0522) 720270 738233-WSP / S Scheme Reference Alternative Scheme Code: Parish: LINCOLN SOUTHE GRANTHAM ROAL	Aving Number: Provided By: rawing Number: READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH AIP REFERENCI- NSP-SBR-LEB_RWB-RP-S-0001 E, THIS DRAWING SHALL BE DEEMED DATE OF APPROVAL. PLEASE CHECK NDMENTS BEFORE USE DATE OF APPROVAL. PLEASE CHECK NDMENTS BEFORE USE Date: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	E pproval pproval	
	Information suppli Base Information Client Provided D THIS DRAWING IS TO BE F 70036923-4 FOR THIRD PARTY US CURRENT ONLY AT THE FOR AME CURRENT DESCRIPTION THE AMENDAL Scale: (@A1) Primary: Scale: (@A1) Primary: AS SHOW Secondary: CURRENT ONLY COUNTY COUNCEL Highways Alliance TECHNICAL SERVICES PARTNERSHIP MILL HOUSE MILL HOUSE MILL HOUSE MILL HOUSE MILL HOUSE Alternative Scheme Code: Parish: LINCOLN Structure No: Description: LINCOLN SOUTHE GRANTHAM ROAL GENERAL ARRAN OPTION 1	A provided By: Pr	E pproval ate	
	Information suppli Base Information Client Provided D THIS DRAWING IS TO BE F 70036923-1 FOR THIRD PARTY US CURRENT ONLY AT THE FOR AME SCURRENT ONLY AT THE FOR AME CONTREMINED FOR AME SCURRENT ONLY AT THE FOR AME SCURRENT ONLY AT THE SCURRENT ONLY AND AND SCURRENT ONLY AND AND AND SCURRENT ONLY AND AND AND SCURRENT ONLY AND AND AND AND SCURRENT ONLY AND AND AND AND SCURRENT ONLY AND AND AND AND AND SCURRENT ONLY AND AND AND AND AND SCURRENT ONLY AND AND AND AND AND AND AND SCURRENT ONLY AND	A provided By: Pr	E pproval proval FS20705 A 1 N	







PLAN

Scale 1:100

X-Refs & Blocks used in this Drawing
 Notes: Do not scale from this drawing. All dimensions are in millimetres unless otherwise noted. The structure element dimensions are shown based on conceptual design and subject to change at detailed preliminary design stage.
Lincolnshire Highway Alliance drawing created using base information supplied by external party detailed below: Base Information Provided By: Client Provided Drawing Number: THIS DRAWING IS TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH AIP REFERENCE 70036923-WSP-SBR-LEB_RWB-RP-S-0001 FOR THIRD PARTY USE, THIS DRAWING SHALL BE DEEMED CURRENT ONLY AT THE DATE OF APPROVAL. PLEASE CHECK FOR AMENDMENTS BEFORE USE
Revision: Description: Amendment Details Drawin CAD Approved By: By: By: By: Drawing 1st Approval 1st Approval by: GP Drawing Creation CAD CAD CAD Approved Drawing 1st Approval Date: 28 NOV 2017 Drawn/Designed by: CAD JP VT VT 27/11/17
KT 27/11/17 Scale: KT 27/11/17 Scale: Operating County council Primary: AS SHOWN (Do not scale from this drawing) Secondary: Lincolnshic Enderstand Highways Alliance Enderstand Enderstand TECHNICAL SERVICES PARTNERSHIP Enderstand Enderstand Mill HOUSE BRAYFOR WHARF NORTH Enderstand Enderstand Lincoln, LMI 101 Customer Service Center: (01522) 782070 Critificate No. F520705 738233-WSP / SBR / XX-DR/ C/0004 Rev.A0 Revision. Alternative Scheme Code: Road No: Parish: LINCOLN Structure No: Structure No: Structure No: Structure No:
Description: LINCOLN SOUTHERN BYPASS GRANTHAM ROAD OVER BRIDGE GENERAL ARRANGEMENT OPTION 2 LINCOLNSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL - Reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. OS Licence 100025370